

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,172	12/22/2003	Kenichi Kawase	112857-453	7752
	7590 09/20/2007 & LLOYD LLP	EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP P. O. BOX 1135			LEE, CYNTHIA K	
CHICAGO, IL 60690		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/743,172	KAWASE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Cynthia Lee	1745		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1 *	,		
Period fo	• •				
WHI( - External after - If NO - Failuant Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Diversions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varies to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI c, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	une 2007.	·		
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
·	Cláim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.				
-7/K-XI	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 and 9</u> is/are withdra	· ·			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>2-8 and 10-19</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
∆nnlicat	ion Papers				
	·	_			
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance.		by the Evernines		
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		* *		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•		
	•	•			
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document:	a have been received			
	<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ul>		Application No.		
	<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>				
	application from the International Bureau	· ·	received in this National Stage		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	received.		
		, ·			
Attachmer	• •				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application		

**Art Unit: 1745** 

# Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 6/29/2007. Claims 1-19 are pending. Claims 1 and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Claims 2 and 10 have been amended. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Claims 2-8 and 10-19 are finally rejected for reasons of record and for reasons necessitated by applicant's amendment.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "substantially covering" (emphasis added) is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed.

Applicant relies on pg 4 lines 20-25 and Fig. 1 and 2 for support. However, the Examiner notes that the Specification and the figures do not support limitation "substantially covering" (emphasis added). Applicants are requested to exactly point out what part of the specification and the figures are relied upon for the new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in reply to this Office Action.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear to the Examiner as to what is meant by "substantially covering" (emphasis added). To what degree does the active material layer cover the current collector? It is particularly unclear considering that the Applicants are arguing that the anode active material of prior art does not "substantially" cover the current collector (emphasis added).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 2-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fujimoto (US 2004/0224231).

The text of the rejection not included in this action can be found in the prior Office action dated 4/3/2007.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akaji (JP 11-135115) in view of Fujimoto (US 2004/0224231).

The text of the rejection not included in this action can be found in the prior Office action dated 4/3/2007.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/29/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the anode active material of prior art does not "substantially" cover the current collector (emphasis added).

In response, because the Applicant has not defined as to what degree the anode active material covers the current collector, it is noted that the anode active material of prior art "substantially" covers the current collector.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,172

Art Unit: 1745

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ckl

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER